



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/538,030	03/29/2000	Peter John Turley	PD-990167	7475	
22462 75	590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER		
GATES & CO			PHUONG, DAI		
	GHES CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 10:	50	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELE	•		2685		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	()
			0
Office Action Summary	09/538,030	TURLEY ET AL.	·
ome Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAIL INC DATE of the control of the	Dai A Phuong	2685	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37.CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>9 March 2000</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	·		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on $3/29/2000$ is/are: a)		red to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/538,030

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peyrovian (U.S. 6,236,833).

Regarding claim 1, Peyrovian discloses, a switch matrix for coupling an uplink beam to a demodulator, comprising:

an input module 20 (col. 2, lines 64-67), the input module having a plurality of inputs at least equal to a number of cells in a reuse pattern (col. 2, lines 64-67), the inputs receiving at least one uplink beam and a plurality of outputs (col. 2, lines 53-67), the plurality of outputs at least equal to a number of subbands in the uplink beam (col. 3, lines 40-45); and

an output module 10 (fig. 1, col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3, lines 45-56), the output module coupled to the input module, for selectively coupling the outputs from the input module to an output of the output module (fig. 1, col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3, lines 3-56), the output of the output module 10 (fig. 1, col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3, lines 45-56) coupled to a demodulator 14 (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 45-56) thereto.

Regarding claim 2, Peyrovian discloses all the limitation in claim 1. Further, Peyrovian discloses the switch matrix wherein the output module 10 is directly coupled to the input module 20 (col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3, lines 56).

Regarding claim 3, Peyrovian discloses all the limitation in claim 1. In addition, Peyrovian discloses the switch matrix wherein the input module comprises redundant modules 22 (col. 2, lines 64-67).

Regarding claim 4, Peyrovian discloses all the limitation in claim 1. However, Peyrovian discloses the switch matrix wherein the input module accepts uplink beams of different polarizations (col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3, lines 7).

Regarding claim 5, Peyrovian discloses all the limitation in claim 1. Moreover, Peyrovian disclose the switch matrix wherein the input module accepts uplink beams of a single polarization (col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3, lines 7)

Regarding claim 11, Peyrovian discloses a method for switching uplink signals through a switch matrix in a satellite system, the uplink signal generated by a cell-based transmission matrix, wherein a frequency reuse pattern is used throughout the cell matrix and the uplink signal comprises subband signals, comprising:

grouping the uplink signals into a plurality of groups (col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3 lines 7), the number of groups at least equal to a number of cell-reuse patterns of the cell-based transmission matrix (col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3 lines 7), each group comprising a signal from each frequency used in the frequency reuse pattern (col. 3, lines 45-57);

separating each group of uplink signals into subband signals (col. 3, lines 45-57 and col. 4, lines 25-30);

Application/Control Number: 09/538,030

Art Unit: 2685

grouping similar subband signals from the groups of uplink signals to produce groups of similar subband signals (col. 3, lines 40-57 and col. 4, lines 25-30); and

forwarding the groups of similar subband signals to demodulators for processing within the satellite system (col. 3, lines 40-57 and col. 4, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 12, Peyrovian discloses all the limitation in claim 11. Further, Peyrovian discloses the method wherein the uplink signals comprise signals of different polarizations (col. 2, lines 53 to col. 3, lines 7).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6-10 are allowable over prior art of record.

Claims 7-10 are allowed as being depended on independent claim 6.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art made of record and considered pertinent to the application's disclosure does not disclose nor fairly suggest the method for switching uplink signal through a switch matrix in a satellite system: a plurality of power splitters, wherein the plurality of power splitter is at least equal to the number of cells in the reuse pattern for the satellite system, wherein each power splitter splits each input into a plurality of substantially equal power outputs, a number of power outputs at least equal to a number of subbands used by the satellite system.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Assal et al. (U.S. 5,220,320) switching element and crossbar switch matrices

Application/Control Number: 09/538,030

Art Unit: 2685

Assal et al. (U.S. 4,931,802) multiple spot-beam system

Alaria et al. (U.S. 4,480,328) communication via satellite

Nakagome et al. (U.S. 4,456,988) satellite repeater

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dai A Phuong whose telephone number is 703-605-4373. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 703-305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dai Phuong AU: 2685

Date: 11-19-2004

W. R. YOUNG

PRIMARY EXAMINER